### Algebro-Geometric Trace Codes

### Phong Le

Department of Mathematics Niagara University

January 2012/Joint Mathematics Meeting

## Algebraic Curves over $\mathbb{F}_{p^a}$

### p is a prime

- $q = p^a$ , a power of p
- **\blacksquare**  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is the field of q elements
- **E**  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  is the field extension of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of degree *m*
- X is an projective curve of genus g over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$
- **•**  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}(X)$  is the function field of X over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$



Fix a divisor 
$$G = \sum n_Q Q$$
,  $Q \in X$ ,  $n_Q \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ .

• deg(G) =  $\sum n_Q$ 

Split *G* into positive and negative parts:

$$G^+ = \sum_{n_Q > 0} n_Q Q$$

$$G^- = \sum_{n_Q < 0} n_Q Q$$

$$G = G^+ + G^-$$

## Divisor to Vector Space

For  $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(X)$ . We can generate a divisor by locating the zeros and poles of the function.

- The divisor of a function f is denoted (f).
- If Q ∈ X is a zero of f with multiplicity n<sub>Q</sub> then n<sub>Q</sub>Q appears in (f).
- If P ∈ X is a pole of f with multiplicity n<sub>P</sub> then −n<sub>P</sub>P appears in (f).

#### Example

Let 
$$X = \mathbb{P}^1$$
 the projective curve. Let  $f(x) = x$ .

$$(f)=\mathbf{0}-\infty.$$

## Divisor to Vector Space

For  $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(X)$ . We can generate a divisor by locating the zeros and poles of the function.

- The divisor of a function f is denoted (f).
- If  $Q \in X$  is a zero of f with multiplicity  $n_Q$  then  $n_Q Q$  appears in (f).
- If P ∈ X is a pole of f with multiplicity n<sub>P</sub> then −n<sub>P</sub>P appears in (f).

### Example

Let 
$$X = \mathbb{P}^1$$
 the projective curve. Let  $f(x) = x$ .

$$(f)=0-\infty.$$

Algebraic Curves Codes Traces Dimension Equality Main Theorem Example 
$$\mathcal{L}(G)$$

For a divisor *G* we can generate a vector space of functions:

$$\mathcal{L}(G):=\{f\in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(X)\mid (f)+G\geq 0\}\cup\{0\}$$

- These are functions who have at least as many zeros as G and at worst as many poles as G.
- $\blacksquare$  *G*<sup>+</sup> bounds the multiplicity and location of the poles
- *G*<sup>−</sup> determine the required multiplicity and location of zeros
- This is a vector space, but not a terribly code friendly one.

## Algebro-Geometric Codes

Let  $D = \{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$  be  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  rational points of X away from  $G^+$ . Usually we just take  $D = X \setminus Supp(G^+)$ .

$$C = C(D,G) := \{(f(P_1),\ldots,f(P_n)) \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(G)\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n.$$

#### Theorem (Riemann-Roch)

 $lf 2g - 2 < \deg(G) < n$ :

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \deg(G) + 1 - g.$$

Furthermore, when deg(G) < n we know the dimension of *C* is the same as the dimension of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ .

### Algebro-Geometric Codes

Let  $D = \{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$  be  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  rational points of X away from  $G^+$ . Usually we just take  $D = X \setminus Supp(G^+)$ .

$$C = C(D,G) := \{(f(P_1),\ldots,f(P_n)) \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(G)\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n.$$

#### Theorem (Riemann-Roch)

*If*  $2g - 2 < \deg(G) < n$ :

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(\mathcal{L}(G)) = \deg(G) + 1 - g.$$

Furthermore, when deg(G) < n we know the dimension of *C* is the same as the dimension of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$ .

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ つ

### The Trace Map

Define the trace function to be  $Tr : \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \mapsto \mathbb{F}_q$  where

$$Tr(x) = x + x^{q} + \ldots + x^{q^{m-2}} + x^{q^{m-1}}$$

This is necessarily an element of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

#### Example

Let *t* be a generator of  $\mathbb{F}_{7^3}$  where *t* satisfies the polynomial  $x^3 + 6x^2 + 4$ .

 $Tr(x) = x + x^{7} + x^{49}$  $Tr(t) = t + t^{7} + t^{49} = 1$  $Tr(2t+1) = (2t+1) + (2t+1)^{7} + (2t+1)^{49} = 5$ 

## The Trace Map

Define the trace function to be  $Tr : \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \mapsto \mathbb{F}_q$  where

$$Tr(x) = x + x^{q} + \ldots + x^{q^{m-2}} + x^{q^{m-1}}$$

This is necessarily an element of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

### Example

Let *t* be a generator of  $\mathbb{F}_{7^3}$  where *t* satisfies the polynomial  $x^3 + 6x^2 + 4$ .  $Tr(x) = x + x^7 + x^{49}$ 

$$Tr(t) = t + t^7 + t^{49} = 1$$
$$Tr(2t+1) = (2t+1) + (2t+1)^7 + (2t+1)^{49} = 5$$

### AG Trace Code

$$C = C(D,G) := \{(f(P_1),\ldots,f(P_n)) \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(G)\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q6m}^n.$$

Let *TrC* denote the trace of *C*:

$$TrC := \{(Tr(f(P_1)), \ldots, Tr(f(P_n)) \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(G)\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$$

*TrC* is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

#### Main Question

What is the dimension of *TrC*? Or, what sorts of constraints can we put on *TrC* so that we can find or bound the dimension?

### AG Trace Code

$$C = C(D,G) := \{(f(P_1),\ldots,f(P_n)) \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(G)\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q6m}^n.$$

Let *TrC* denote the trace of *C*:

$$TrC := \{(Tr(f(P_1)), \ldots, Tr(f(P_n)) \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(G)\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$$

*TrC* is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

### Main Question

What is the dimension of TrC? Or, what sorts of constraints can we put on TrC so that we can find or bound the dimension?



### Recap

- Curve X of genus g defined over Fp<sup>a</sup>
- Divisor  $G = \sum n_Q Q$  on X
- G splits into positive and negative coefficient parts G = G<sup>+</sup> + G<sup>-</sup>
- Set of points  $D = \{P_1, \ldots, P_n\}$  away from  $G^+$
- $C(G,D) = \{(f(P_1),\ldots,f(P_n) \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(G)\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^m}^n$
- $TrC = \{(Tr(f(P_1)), \ldots, Tr(f(P_n))) \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(G)\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_q^n$

# The Kernel K

Viewing *Tr* as a  $\mathbb{F}_a$ -linear map we can generate an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow C \rightarrow TrC \rightarrow 0$$

K consists of all elements who trace to zero.

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_a}(TrC) = m(\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(C) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(K))$$

Since Riemann-Roch gives us conditions for determining  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{a^m}}(C)$  we may turn our focus on *K*.

#### Note

It is easier to think of K as a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  and not as a subspace of  $\mathcal{C}(G, D)$  so that's what I'll do even though this is technically incorrect.

# The Kernel K

Viewing *Tr* as a  $\mathbb{F}_a$ -linear map we can generate an exact sequence:

$$0 \to K \to C \to TrC \to 0$$

K consists of all elements who trace to zero.

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_a}(\mathit{Tr}\mathcal{C}) = \mathit{m}(\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(\mathcal{C}) - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(\mathcal{K}))$$

Since Riemann-Roch gives us conditions for determining  $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}}(C)$  we may turn our focus on *K*.

#### Note

It is easier to think of *K* as a subspace of  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  and not as a subspace of C(G, D) so that's what I'll do even though this is technically incorrect.

## The First Ingredient

#### Theorem (Hilbert 90 for Traces)

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  we have  $Tr(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha = \beta^q - \beta$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ .

### $E := \{ f = h^q - h \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(G), h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(X) \} \subseteq K$

#### Question

What is the dimension of *E* and when is E = K?

コトメロトメヨトメヨト ヨークへの

Phong Le | AG Trace Codes

## The First Ingredient

#### Theorem (Hilbert 90 for Traces)

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  we have  $Tr(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha = \beta^q - \beta$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ .

$$E := \{f = h^q - h \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(G), h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(X)\} \subseteq K$$

#### Question

What is the dimension of *E* and when is E = K?

Phong Le | AG Trace Codes

## The First Ingredient

#### Theorem (Hilbert 90 for Traces)

For  $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  we have  $Tr(\alpha) = 0$  if and only if  $\alpha = \beta^q - \beta$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ .

$$E := \{f = h^q - h \mid f \in \mathcal{L}(G), h \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(X)\} \subseteq K$$

#### Question

What is the dimension of *E* and when is E = K?

## The dimension of *E*

$$G = G^+ + G^-$$

- $[G/q] := \sum_{n_Q > 0} [n_Q/q] Q + \sum_{n_Q < 0} n_Q Q$
- [G/q] reduces the maximum number of poles a function is allowed to have.
- If  $h \in \mathcal{L}([G/q])$  then  $h^q h \in E \subseteq \mathcal{L}(G)$ .
- When is  $\mathcal{L}([G/q]) \xrightarrow{h^q h} \mathcal{L}(G)$  surjective?

One way to force surjectivity is to install further controls on the poles:

### Proposition

When  $\#Supp(G^{-}) \leq 1$ ,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} E = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{L}[G/q] - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q \cap \mathcal{L}[G/q]).$$

These dimensions are much more accessible via Riemann-Roch.

#### Side Question

Is there any other sort of restriction on G we can devise that will give us an easy formula for the dimension of E?

One way to force surjectivity is to install further controls on the poles:

#### Proposition

When  $\#Supp(G^{-}) \leq 1$ ,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} E = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathcal{L}[G/q] - \dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{F}_q \cap \mathcal{L}[G/q]).$$

These dimensions are much more accessible via Riemann-Roch.

### Side Question

Is there any other sort of restriction on G we can devise that will give us an easy formula for the dimension of E?

## The Second Ingredient

### Theorem (Bombieri's estimate(1966))

Let X be a complete, geometrically irreducible, nosingular curve of genus g, defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ . Let  $f \in \mathbb{F}_{q^m}(X), f \neq h^p - h$ for  $h \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(X)$ , with pole divisor  $(f)_{\infty}$  on X. Then

$$\left|\sum_{P\in X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m})\setminus (f)_{\infty}}\zeta_p^{\overline{tr}_{q^m/p}(f(P))}\right|\leq (2g-2+t+\deg(f)_{\infty})q^{m/2}$$

where  $\zeta_p = \exp(2\pi i/p)$  is a primitive *p*-th root of unity and *t* is the number of distinct poles of *f* on *X*.

Note that on the LHS we take the full trace down to the prime field.

# Key Lemma

If we choose an  $f \in K \setminus E$  then the LHS must be maximized. This leads to the following lemma and proposition:

#### Lemma

Suppose  $K \neq E$ . Then there is an  $f \in K \setminus E$  that is not of the form  $h^p - h$  for h in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(X)$ .

#### Proposition

lf.

 $\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) > (2g - 2 + \deg(G^+))q^{m/2} + \#Supp(G^+)(q^{m/2} + 1)$ then K = E.

・ロット (雪) (日) (日) (日)

# Key Lemma

If we choose an  $f \in K \setminus E$  then the LHS must be maximized. This leads to the following lemma and proposition:

#### Lemma

Suppose  $K \neq E$ . Then there is an  $f \in K \setminus E$  that is not of the form  $h^p - h$  for h in  $\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}(X)$ .

### Proposition

lf

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) > (2g - 2 + \deg(G^+))q^{m/2} + \#Supp(G^+)(q^{m/2} + 1)$$
  
then  $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{E}.$ 

# Main Theorem

### Theorem (Wan, L-)

Let  $2g - 2 \leq deg([G/q])$  and deg(G) < n. Assume the following:

$$\#Supp(G^{-}) \leq 1,$$

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) > (2g-2 + \deg(G^+))q^{m/2} + Supp(G^+)(q^{m/2} + 1)$$

Under these conditions we have:

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathit{TrC}) = \mathit{m}(\deg(\mathit{G}) - \deg([\mathit{G}/q])) + \delta,$$

where

$$\delta = egin{cases} 1 & \textit{if} \# \textit{Supp}(G^-) = 0 \ 0 & \textit{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

For a smooth projective curve *X* of genus *g* defined over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ , let  $G = kP_{\infty}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . By the Hasse-Weil bound we have

$$|\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) - (q^m + 1)| \le 2gq^{m/2}.$$

By the second condition we want

$$\#X(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) > (2g-2+k)q^{m/2} + (q^{m/2}+1).$$

Combining these two inequalities, we see that the second condition is satisfied when

$$q^{m/2} - 4g + 1 > k$$
.

### Example: Continued

We obtain the following:

### Corollary

For X a smooth projective curve over  $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$  and  $G = kP_{\infty}$ . if  $2g - 2 \leq [k/q]$  and  $k < \min(n, q^{m/2} - 4g + 1)$  then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}_q} Tr C = m(k - [k/q]) + 1.$$



- A generalization of work done by Marcel Van der Vlugt: *A New Upper Bound for the Dimension of Trace Codes.* Bull. London Math. Soc. 23 (1991), 395-400.
- Joint work with Daqing Wan
- preprint can be found on my website

### Thank You!

#### http://math.uci.edu/~ple

Phong Le | AG Trace Codes

20/20